UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CROSTHWAIT	E, et al.,	
	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. 07-5321 TEH
LAND TECHNO	v. DLOGY INC., et al.,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s).	
	sel report that they have met a pulation pursuant to Civil L.R.	and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the . 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties ag	gree to participate in the follow	wing ADR process:
✓	t Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (A Early Neutral Evaluation (E Mediation (ADR L.R. 6) s who believe that an early se	•
appreciably n ADR phone o	nore likely to meet their needs	s than any other form of ADR, must participate in an iis form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
Priva	te Process: Private ADR (<i>please identi<u>f</u></i>	ŷ process and provider)
The parties ag		by: he deadline is 90 days from the date of the order R process unless otherwise ordered.)
✓	other requested deadline Ma	rch 31, 2008
Dated: 1/24/08	1	Muriel B. Kaplan, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated:		David C. Johnston, Esq. Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

✓ Mediation
Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session 90 days from the date of this order. other

IT IS SO ORDERED.		
Dated:		
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT	JUDGE